

# Lab 5: RISC-V Introduction – Multi-Cycle and Two-Stage Pipeline

Due: 11:59:59pm, Monday October 26, 2015

## 1 Introduction

This lab introduces the RISC-V processor and the toolflow associated with it. The lab begins with the introduction of a single cycle implementation of a RISC-V processor. You will then create two and four cycle implementations driven by memory structural hazards. You will finish by creating a two stage pipelined implementation so fetch and execute are happening in parallel. This two stage pipeline will be the bases for future pipelined implementations.

## 2 The Processor Infrastructure

A large amount of work has already been done for you in setting up the infrastructure to run, test, evaluate performance, and debug your RISC-V processor in simulation and on the FPGA. The processor designs for this lab cannot be run on FPGAs because of the type of memory used.

### 2.1 Initial Code

The code provided for this lab has three folders in it: `programs/`, `scemi/`, and `src/`. `programs/` contains RISC-V programs in assembly and C. `scemi/` contains the infrastructure for compiling and simulating the processors. `src/` contains the BSV code for the RISC-V processors.

Within the BSV source folder, there is a folder `src/includes/` which contains the BSV code for all the modules used in the RISC-V processors. These files are briefly explained below.

`Btb.bsv` Implementations of a branch target buffer address predictor.

`CsrFile.bsv` Implementation of CSRs (including `mtohost`, which communicates with host PC).

`DelayedMemory.bsv` Implementation of memory with one-cycle delay.

`DMemory.bsv` Implementation of the data memory with combinational reads and writes using a massive register file.

`Decode.bsv` Implementation of the instruction decoding.

`Ehr.bsv` Implementation of EHRs as described in the lectures.

`Exec.bsv` Implementation of the instruction execution.

`Fifo.bsv` Implementation of a variety of FIFOs using EHRs as described in the lectures.

`IMemory.bsv` Implementation of the instruction memory with combinational reads using a massive register file.

`MemInit.bsv` Modules for downloading the initial contents of instruction and data memories from the host PC.

`MemTypes.bsv` Common types relating to memory.

`ProcTypes.bsv` Common types relating to the processor.

`RFile.bsv` Implementation of the register file.

`Types.bsv` Common types.




Figure 1: SceMi Setup

## 2.2 The SceMi Setup

Figure 1 shows the SceMi setup for the lab. The SceMiLayer instantiates the processor from the specified processor BSV file and SceMi ports for the processor’s hostToCpu, cpuToHost, iMemInit, and dMemInit interfaces. The SceMiLayer also provides a SceMi port for resetting the core from the test bench, allowing multiple programs to be run on the Processor without reprogramming the FPGA.

Since we only run the processor in simulation in this lab, we will bypass the time-consuming phase of initializing the memory through iMemInit and dMemInit interfaces. Instead, we directly load the memory with desired values using memory initialization files (.vmh files introduced in Section 2.4) when the simulation starts, and we will re-launch simulation for each program.

Source code for the SceMiLayer and Bridge are in the `scemi/` directory. The SceMi link goes over a TCP bridge for simulation and a PCIe bridge when running on the actual FPGA.

## 2.3 Building the Project

The file `scemi/sim/project.bld` describes how to build the project using the `build` command which is part of the Bluespec installation. Run `build --doc` for more information on the `build` command. The full project, including hardware and testbench, can be rebuilt from scratch by running the command `build -v <proc_name>` from the `scemi/sim/` directory where `<proc_name>` is one of the processor names specified in this lab handout<sup>1</sup>. This will overwrite the executable generated by any previous call to `build`.

## 2.4 Compiling the Assembly Tests and Benchmarks

Our SceMi test bench runs RISC-V programs specified in Verilog Memory Hex (vmh) format. The `programs/assembly` directory contains source codes for assembly tests, and the `programs/benchmarks` directory contains source codes for benchmark programs. We will use these programs to test the processor. A Makefile is provided under each directory for compiling the programs to the required .vmh format.

To compile all the assembly tests, go to the `programs/assembly` directory and run the `make` command. This will create a new directory called `programs/build/assembly`, which contains compilation results for all assembly tests. The `vmh` subdirectory under it contains all the .vmh files, and the `dump` subdirectory contains all the dumped assembly codes.

Similarly, go to the `programs/benchmarks` directly and run the `make` command to compile all benchmarks. The compilation results will be in `programs/build/benchmarks` directory.

Compile the assembly tests and benchmarks now. It has been tested that the RISC-V compiler can work on all vlsifarm machines. However it may **NOT** work on normal Athena machines, so we recommend to compile these programs on vlsifarm machines. After the compilation, you can still use other Athena machines to work on the lab.

The .vmh files in the `programs/build/assembly/vmh` directory are assembly tests, and they are introduced below:

<sup>1</sup>Running `build -v` by itself will print an error message containing all valid processor names.

`simple.riscv.vmh` Contains the basic infrastructure code for assembly tests and runs 100 NOP instructions<sup>2</sup>.

`bpred_bht.riscv.vmh` Contains many branches that a branch history table can predict well.

`bpred_j.riscv.vmh` Contains many jump instructions that a branch target buffer can predict well.

`bpred_ras.riscv.vmh` Contains many jumps via registers that a return address stack (RAS) can predict well.

`cache.riscv.vmh` Tests a cache by writing to and reading from addresses that would alias in a smaller memory.

`<inst>.riscv.vmh` Tests a specific instruction.

Each assembly test will print the cycle count, instruction count, and whether the test passes or fails. An example output for `simple.riscv.vmh` on a single-cycle processor is

```
102
103
PASSED
```

The first line is the cycle count, the second line is the instruction count, and the last line shows that the test passes. The instruction count is larger than the cycle count because we read the instruction count CSR (`instret`) after reading the cycle count CSR (`cycle`). If the test fails, the last line will be `FAILED exit code = <failure code>`. You can use the failure code to locate the problem by looking into the source code of the assembly test.

It is highly recommended you rerun all the assembly tests after making any changes to your processor to verify you didn't break anything. Also, run the assembly tests when trying to locate a bug, as they will narrow down which instructions are problematic.

Those `.vmh` files in the `programs/build/benchmarks/` directory are benchmarks which can be used to evaluate the performance of your processor. These benchmarks are briefly introduced below:

`median.riscv.vmh` 1-D three element median filter.

`multiply.riscv.vmh` Software multiplication.

`qsort` Quick sort.

`towers` Hanoi tower.

`vvadd` Add two vectors.

Each benchmark will print its benchmark name, the cycle count, the instruction count, the return value, and whether the benchmark passes or fails. An example output for the median benchmark on a single-cycle processor is

```
Benchmark median
Cycles = 4014
Insts  = 4015
Return 0
PASSED
```

If the benchmark executes correctly, the last two lines should be `Return 0` and `PASSED`. If the benchmark fails, the last line will be `FAILED exit code = <failure code>`.

Performance is measured in instructions-per-cycle (IPC). The greater the IPC the better. For our pipeline we can never exceed an IPC of 1, but we should be able to get close to it with a good branch predictor and proper bypassing.

---

<sup>2</sup>NOP is short for No Operation.

## 2.5 Using the Test Bench

Our SceMi test bench is software running on the host processor which interacts with the RISC-V processor over the SceMi link, as shown in Figure 1. The test bench starts the processor and handles `toHost` requests until the processor indicates it has completed, either successfully or unsuccessfully. For example, the cycle count in the test output are actually `toHost` requests from the processor to print an integer, and the requests are handled by the test bench by printing the integer out. The last line (i.e. `PASSED` or `FAILED`) of the test output is also printed out by the test bench based on the `toHost` request which indicates the end of processing.

To run the test bench, first build the project as described in section 2.3 and compile the RISC-V programs as described in section 2.4. For simulation the executable `bsim_dut` will be created, which should be running when you start the test bench. In simulation, our RISC-V processor always loads the `scemi/sim/mem.vmh` file to initialize the memory. Therefore, we only need to copy the `.vmh` file of the test program that we want to run.

For example, to run the median benchmark on the processor in simulation you could use the commands under the `scemi/sim` directory:

```
cp ../../programs/build/benchmarks/vmh/median.riscv.vmh mem.vmh
./bsim_dut > median.out &
./tb
```

For your convenience, we have provided scripts `run_asm.sh` and `run_bmarks.sh` in the `scemi/sim` directory which run all the assembly tests and benchmarks respectively. The stdout of the `bsim_dut` will be redirected to `logs/<test name>.log` file.

## 2.6 Test Bench Output

There are two sources of outputs from RISC-V simulation. These include BSV display statements (both messages and errors) and RISC-V print statements.

BSV `$display` statements are printed to stdout by `bsim_dut`. BSV can also print to stderr using `$fwrite(stderr, ...)` statements. The scripts `run_asm.sh` and `run_bmarks.sh` redirect the stdout of `bsim_dut` to the `logs/<test name>.log` file.

RISC-V print statements (e.g., `printChar`, `printStr` and `printInt` functions in `programs/benchmarks/common/syscall.c`) are handled through moving characters and integers to the `mtohost` CSR. The test bench reads from the `cpuToHost` interface and prints characters and integers to stderr when it sees them.

**Exercise 1 (0 Points):** Compile the test programs by going to the `programs/assembly` and `programs/benchmarks` directory and using the `make` command. Compile the one-cycle RISC-V implementation and test it by going to the `scemi/sim` directory and using the following commands:

```
build -v onecycle
./run_asm.sh
./run_bmarks.sh
```

During the compilation of BSV codes (i.e. `build -v onecycle`), you may see lots of warnings after the sentence "code generation for `mkBridge` starts". Those warnings are for the SceMi infrastructure, and generally you do not need to be concerned about them.

## 3 Multi-cycle RISC-V Implementations

The provided code, `src/OneCycle.bsv`, implements a one-cycle Harvard architecture<sup>3</sup> RISC-V processor. This processor is able to do operations in a single cycle because it has separate instruction and data memories, and each memory gives responses to loads in the same cycle. In this portion of the lab you will make two different multicycle implementations motivated by more realistic memory structural hazards.

<sup>3</sup>The Harvard architecture has separate instruction and data memories

### 3.1 Two Cycle Von Neumann Architecture RISC-V implementation

An alternative to the Harvard architecture is the von Neumann architecture<sup>4</sup>. The von Neumann architecture has instructions and data stored in the same memory. If there is only one memory that holds both instructions and data, then there is a structural hazard (assuming the memory cannot be accessed twice in the same cycle). To get around this hazard, you can split the processor into two cycles: instruction fetch and execute.

1. Instruction fetch reads the current instruction from the memory and decodes it.
2. Reads the register file, executes instructions, does ALU operations, does memory operations, and writes back to the register file.

When splitting the processor to a two cycle implementation, you will need a register to keep intermediate data between the two stages, and you will need a state register to keep track of the current state. The intermediate data register will be written to during fetch, and it will be read from during execute. The state register will toggle between fetch and execute. You can use the provided `Stage` typedef as the type for the state register to make things easier.

**Exercise 2 (15 Points):** Implement a two-cycle RISC-V processor in `TwoCycle.bsv` using a single memory for instructions and data. The module `mem` has been provided for you to use as your single memory. Test this processor by going to the `scemi/sim` directory and using the following commands:

```
build -v twocycle
./run_asm.sh
./run_bmarks.sh
```

### 3.2 Four-cycle RISC-V implementation to support memory latency

The one and two-cycle RISC-V processors assume a memory that has combinational reads; that is, if you set the read address, then the data from the read will be valid during the same clock cycle. Most memories have reads with longer latencies: first you set the address bits, and then the read result is ready on the next clock cycle. If we change the memory in the previous RISC-V processor implementations to a memory with a read latency, then we introduce another structural hazard: results from reads cannot be used in the same cycle as the reads are performed. This structural hazard can be avoided by further splitting the processor into four cycles: instruction fetch, instruction decode, execute, and write back.

1. Instruction fetch sets the address lines on the memory to `PC` to read the current instruction.
2. Instruction decode gets the instruction from memory, decodes it, and reads registers.
3. Execute will perform ALU operations, write data to the memory for store instructions, and set memory address lines for read instructions.
4. Write back will get any read results from the memory and it will write back to the register file (either from the ALU or from the memory).

This processor will require more registers between stages and an expanded state register. You can use the modified `Stage` typedef as the type for the state register.

A one-cycle read latency memory is implemented by `mkDelayedMemory`. This module has an interface, `DelayedMemory`, that decouples memory requests and memory responses. Requests are still made in the same way using `req`, but this method no longer returns the response at the same time. In order to get the results of a requested load, you have to call the `resp` action value method in a later clock cycle to get the memory response from the previous read. A store request will not generate any response, so you should not call the `resp` method for stores. More details can be found in the source file `DelayedMemory.bsv` in `src/includes`.

<sup>4</sup>The von Neumann architecture is also called the Princeton architecture

**Exercise 3 (15 Points):** Implement a four-cycle RISC-V processor in `FourCycle.bsv` as described above. Use the delayed memory module `mem` already included in `FourCycle.bsv` for both instruction and data memory. Test this processor using the following command:

```
build -v fourcycle
./run_asm.sh
./run_bmarks.sh
```

## 4 Two-stage pipeline RISC-V Implementation

While the two-cycle and four-cycle implementations allow for processors that handle certain structural hazards, they do not do well in performance. All processors today are pipelined to increase performance, and they often have duplicated hardware to avoid structural hazards such as the memory hazards seen in the two- and four-cycle RISC-V implementations. Pipelining introduces many more data and control hazards for the processor to handle. To avoid data hazards for now, we will only look at a two-stage pipeline.

The two-stage pipeline uses the way the two-cycle implementation splits the work into two stages, and it runs these stages in parallel using separate instruction and data memories. This means as one instruction is being executed, the next instruction is being fetched. For branch instructions, the next instruction is not always known. This is known as a control hazard.

To handle this control hazard, use a PC+4 predictor in the fetch stage and correct the PC when mispredictions occur. The `mispredict` field of `ExecInst` will be useful here.

**Exercise 4 (30 Points):** Implement a two-cycle pipelined RISC-V processor in `TwoStage.bsv` using separate instruction and data memories (with combinational reads, just like the memories from `OneCycle.bsv`). You can implement either inelastic or elastic pipeline. Test this processor using the following command:

```
build -v twostage
./run_asm.sh
./run_bmarks.sh
```

### 4.1 Instructions Per Cycle

Processor performance is often measured in instructions per cycle (IPC). This metric is a measure of throughput, or how many instructions are completed per cycle on average. IPC is computed by dividing a number of instructions by how many cycles it took to execute those instructions. The one-cycle implementation gets 1.0 IPC, but since the clock required for the one-cycle implementation to work is very slow, this is not as fast as it sounds. The two-cycle and four-cycle implementations achieve 0.5 and 0.25 IPC respectively.

The pipelined implementation of the processor will achieve somewhere between 0.5 IPC and 1.0 IPC. Since the only thing keeping your processor from an IPC of 1.0 is branch misprediction, the actual IPC of your processor depends only on the accuracy of your PC+4 next address predictor.

**Discussion Question 1 (5 Points):** What is the IPC for the two-stage pipelined processor for each benchmark tested by the `run_bmarks.sh` script?

**Discussion Question 2 (5 Points):** What is the formula to compute the next address predictor accuracy from the IPC? (Hint, how many cycles does it take to execute an instruction when the PC+4 prediction is correct? What about when it is incorrect?) Using this formula, what is the accuracy of the PC+4 next address predictor for each benchmark?

### 4.2 Next Address Prediction

Now lets use a more advanced next address predictor. One such example is a branch target buffer (BTB). A BTB keeps track of previously used next addresses that were not PC+4, and it is used to compute the next address instead of PC+4 whenever it contains a next PC for the current PC.

`Btb.bsv` contains an implementation of a BTB. Its interface has two methods: `predPc` and `update`. The method `predPc` takes the current PC and it returns a prediction. The method `update` takes a program counter and the next address for the instruction at that program counter and adds it as a prediction if it is not PC+4.

The `predPc` method should be called to predict the next PC, and the `update` method should be called after a branch resolves. The execution stage requires both the PC of the current instruction and the predicted PC to resolve branches, so you need to store these information in the pipeline register or FIFO.

The `mispredict` and `addr` fields of `ExecInst` will be very useful here. It should be noted that the `addr` field is not always the correct PC of the next instruction. It will be memory access addresses for loads and stores. We either have high-level reasoning that loads and stores never get wrong next-PC prediction, or check the instruction type to derive the correct next instruction PC in execution stage.

**Exercise 5 (10 Points):** Add a BTB to your two-cycle pipelined RISC-V processor and save the results in `TwoStageBTB.bsv`. The BTB module is already instantiated in the initial code. Test this processor using the following command:

```
build -v twostagebttb
./run_asm.sh
./run_bmarks.sh
```

**Discussion Question 3 (5 Points):** What is the IPC for the two-stage pipelined processor with a BTB for each benchmark tested by the `run_bmarks.sh` script? How much has it improved over the previous version?

**Discussion Question 4 (5 Points):** How does adding the BTB change the performance of the `bpred_*` microbenchmarks? (Hint: the number of cycles for `bpred_j` should go down.)

## Bonus Discussion Questions

**Discussion Question 5 (5 Bonus Points):** Look at the assembly source for the `bpred_*` benchmarks and explain why each benchmark improved, stayed the same, or got worse.

**Discussion Question 6 (5 Bonus Points):** How would you improve the BTB to improve the results of `bpred_bht`?