



# Constructive Computer Architecture

## Tutorial 2

# Advanced BSV

Sizhuo Zhang

6.175 TA

# EHR and Scheduling

- ◆ Design example
  - Up/down counter

```
interface Counter;  
    Bit#(8) read;  
    Action increment(Bit#(8) x);  
    Action decrement;  
endinterface
```

# Up/Down Counter

## Conflict Design

```
module mkCounter( Counter );
    Reg#(Bit#(8)) count <- mkReg(0);

    method Bit#(8) read;
        return count;
    endmethod

    method Action increment(Bit#(8) x);
        count <= count + x;      increment conflicts
    endmethod                                         with decrement

    method Action decrement;
        count <= count - 1;
    endmethod

endmodule
```

increment conflicts  
with decrement

# Concurrent Design

## A general technique

- ◆ Replace conflicting registers with EHRs
- ◆ Choose an order for the methods
- ◆ Assign ports of the EHR sequentially to the methods depending on the desired schedule
  
- ◆ Method described in paper that introduces EHRs: “The Ephemeral History Register: Flexible Scheduling for Rule-Based Designs” by Daniel Rosenband

# Up/Down Counter

Concurrent design: read < inc < dec

```
module mkCounter( Counter );
    Ehr#(3, Bit#(8)) count <- mkEhr(0);

    method Bit#(8) read; // use port 0
        return count[0];
    endmethod

    method Action increment(Bit#(8) x); // use port 1
        count[1] <= count[1] + x;
    endmethod

    method Action decrement; // use port 2
        count[2] <= count[2] - 1;
    endmethod

endmodule
```

We could use Ehr#(2, Bit#(8)), but not necessary.

# Conflict-Free Design

## A general technique

- ◆ Replace conflicting Action and ActionValue methods with writes to EHRs representing method call requests
  - If there are no arguments for the method call, the EHR should hold a value of Bool
  - If there are arguments for the method call, the EHR should hold a value of Maybe#(Tuple2#(TypeArg1, TypeArg2)) or something similar
- ◆ Create a canonicalize rule to handle all of the method call requests at the same time
- ◆ Reset all the method call request EHRs to False or tagged invalid at the end of the canonicalize rule
- ◆ Guard method calls with method call requests
  - If there is an outstanding request, don't allow a second one to happen

# Up/Down Counter

## Conflict-Free design – methods

```
module mkCounter( Counter );
    Reg#(Bit#(8)) count <- mkReg(0);
    Ehr#(2, Maybe#(Bit#(8))) inc_req <- mkEhr(Invalid);
    Ehr#(2, Bool) dec_req <- mkEhr(False);
    // canonicalize rule on next slide
    method Bit#(8) read = count;
    method Action increment(Bit#(8) x) if(!isValid(inc_req[0]));
        inc_req[0] <= Valid(x);
    endmethod
    method Action decrement if(!dec_req[0]);
        dec_req[0] <= True;
    endmethod
endmodule
```

# Up/Down Counter

## Conflict-Free design – canonicalize rule

```
module mkCounter( Counter );  
    // Reg and EHR definitions on previous slide  
rule canonicalize;  
    let count_nxt = count;  
    if(isValid(inc_req[1]))  
        count_nxt = count_nxt + fromMaybe(?, inc_req[1]);  
    if(dec_req[1])  
        count_nxt = count_nxt - 1;  
  
    count <= count_nxt  
    inc_req[1] <= Invalid;  
    dec_req[1] <= False;  
endrule  
    // methods on previous slide  
endmodule
```

This is basically the solution to Lab 4.

# Synthesis Boundary

## ◆ Module **without** synthesis boundary

- Methods are inlined
- Pros: Aggressive guard lifting (if ... else ...)
- Cons: long compile time if instantiated many times

## ◆ Module **with** synthesis boundary

**( \* synthesize \* )**

**Module** mkMyModule( MyModuleIFC );

- The module will be compiled separately
- The module becomes a black box
- Pros: shorter compile time, no inlining
- Cons: conservative guards

# Synthesis Boundary Guard Logic (Example)

- ◆ Synthesis boundaries simplifies guard logic

```
method Action doAction( Bool x );  
  if( x ) begin  
    <a> when p;  
  end else begin  
    <a> when q;  
  end  
endmethod
```

- Lifted guard without synthesis boundary:
  - ◆  $(\neg x \parallel g(p)) \&& (x \parallel g(q))$
- Lifted guard with synthesis boundary:  $p \&& q$
- Synthesis boundaries do not allow inputs to be in guards. There are other restriction...

# Synthesis Boundary

- ◆ A synthesis boundary can only be placed over a module with:
  - No type parameters in its interface
  - No parameters in the module's constructor that can't be converted to bits (no interfaces can be passed in)

## ◆ Takeaway

- Synthesis boundary may affect guard & scheduling
- Faster compilation

# Typeclass

## ◆ Motivating example: parametrized add

```
function t adder(t a, t b);  
    return a + b;  
endfunction
```

- Some type t can be added: Bit#(n), Int#(n)
- Some cannot: enum, struct, tagged union

## ◆ Typeclass

- If a type belongs to a typeclass, then certain operations can be performed on this type
  - Arith: +, -, \*, /, %, negate
  - Bits: pack, unpack

# Typeclass

## ◆ Define a typeclass

```
typeclass Bits#(type a, numeric type n);  
    function Bit#(n) pack(a x);  
    function a unpack(Bit#(n) x);  
endtypeclass
```

- If types a and n are in typeclass Bits ,
- then we can do pack & unpack with them

# Instance

- ◆ Types are added to typeclasses by creating instances of that typeclass

```
typedef enum { Red, Green, Blue } Color deriving (Eq);
// no deriving(Bits)
instance Bits#(Color, 2);
    function Bit#(2) pack(Color x);
        return x==Red ? 3 : x==Green ? 2 : 1;
    endfunction
    function Color unpack(Bit#(2) x);
        return x==3 ? Red : x==2 ? Green : Blue;
    endfunction
endinstance
```

- ◆ deriving: do above process in a default way

# Provisos

- ◆ Tell compiler that type t can do "+"
  - Add provisos (compile error without provisos)

```
function t adder(t a, t b) provisos(Arith#(t));
    return a + b;
endfunction
```

## Provisos

- Tell compiler additional information about the parametrized types
- Compiler can type check based on the info

# Typeclass Takeaway

- ◆ Typeclasses allow polymorphism across types
  - Provisos restrict modules type parameters to specified type classes
- ◆ Typeclass Examples (BSV Reference guide Section B.1)
  - Eq: `==`, `!=`
  - Ord: `<`, `>`, `<=`, `>=`, `min`, `max`, `compare`
  - Bits: `pack`, `unpack`
  - Arith: `+`, `-`, `*`, `/`, `%`, `negate`
  - Literal: `fromInteger`, `inLiteralRange`
  - FShow: `fshow` (format values nicely as strings)

# RISC-V Processor Code