# On-Chip Networks II: Router Microarchitecture & Routing

Tushar Krishna Associate Professor @ Georgia Tech Visiting Professor @ MIT EECS and CSAIL

MIT 6.5900 (ne 6.823) Fall 2023

#### Interconnection Network Architecture

- *Topology*: How to connect the nodes up? (processors, memories, router line cards, ...)
- *Routing*: Which path should a message take?
- *Flow control*: How is the message actually forwarded from source to destination?
- *Router microarchitecture*: How to build the routers?
- *Link microarchitecture*: How to build the links?

# Recap: Modern on-chip networks





Core will not be shown explicitly in the rest of the slides. Only the routers will be.

# Recap: Topology



# Today's Agenda

- *Topology*: How to connect the nodes up? (processors, memories, router line cards, ...)
- *Routing*: Which path should a message take?
- *Flow control*: How is the message actually forwarded from source to destination?
- *Router microarchitecture*: How to build the routers?
- *Link microarchitecture*: How to build the links?

# Routing

MIT 6.5900 (ne 6.823) Fall 2023

# Routing

- Once topology is fixed, routing determines exact path from source to destination
- Analogous to the series of road segments from source to destination



# **Routing Algorithms**

#### • Property

- Minimal or Non-Minimal
  - Minimal: only select shortest paths
  - Non Minimal: need not select shortest paths
- Oblivious or Adaptive
  - Oblivious: routing decisions do not depend on network state (i.e., traffic), only depends on (src, dest)
    - **Deterministic** is a subset where is always chosen
  - Adaptive: uses different routes depending on traffic

#### Design Considerations

- Deadlock Freedom
  - traffic pattern should not lead to a situation where no packets move forward
- Implementation
  - Table-based or combination circuit

# **Dimension Ordered Routing**

#### XY: Always go X first, then Y



Minimal and Deterministic

#### **Cons of this approach?**

- Eliminates any path diversity provided by topology
- Poor load balancing

And yet ... This is the most common approach!

# Valiant's Routing Algorithm

- To route from s to d
  - Randomly choose intermediate node d'
  - Route\* from s to d' (Phase I), and d' to d (Phase II)
- Pros
  - Randomizes any traffic pattern
    - All patterns appear uniform random
  - Balances network-load
    - Higher throughput
- Cons
  - Non-minimal
    - Higher latency and energy
  - Destroys locality



#### **Non-Minimal and \*Oblivious**

#### \* can also be Adaptive

#### ROMM: Randomized, Oblivious Multi-phase Minimal Routing

- Confine intermediate node to be within minimal quadrant
- Retain locality + some load-balancing
- This approach essentially translates to randomly selecting between all minimal paths from source to destination



**Minimal and Oblivious** 

# Challenges with Minimal + Oblivious

XY Minimal and Oblivious YX



What happens if you use both simultaneously? Suppose we toss a coin and send either XY or YX Benefits? Challenge?

November 1, 2023

MIT 6.5900 (ne 6.823) Fall 2023

# Network Deadlock



- Flow A holds u and wants v
- Flow B holds v and wants w
- Flow C holds w and wants x
- Flow D holds x and wants u

# Turn Model (Glass and Ni 1994)

- One way of looking at whether a routing algorithm is deadlock free is to look at the turns allowed.
- Deadlocks may occur if turns can form a cycle
  - Removing some turns can make algorithm deadlock free







November 1, 2023 L16-14

MIT 6.5900 (ne 6.823) Fall 2023

# Deadlock-free Routing Algorithms



**Negative-First Turn Model** 

#### Can we eliminate any 2 turns?



# Channel Dependency Graph (CDG)

- Vertices represent network links (channels)
- Edges represent turns
  - 180° turns not allowed, e.g.,  $AB \rightarrow BA$



# Cycles in the CDG

The channel dependency graph D derived from the network topology may contain many *cycles* 





Flow routed through links AB, BE, EF Flow routed through links EF, FA, AB Deadlock!

Edges in CDG = Turns in Network → Disallow/Delete certain edges in CDG

MIT 6.5900 (ne 6.823) Fall 2023

# Acyclic CDG



MIT 6.5900 (ne 6.823) Fall 2023

# Path Diversity vs Deadlock

- Path diversity required for higher throughput
- Path restrictions because of deadlock-free routing requirement
- Can we allow all turns and still get deadlock freedom ?

# Why do deadlocks occur?



- Flow A holds buffer in 1 and wants buffer in 2
- Flow B holds buffer in 2 and wants buffer in 3
- Flow C holds buffer in 3 and wants buffer in 0
- Flow D holds buffer in 0 and wants buffer in 1 P

Add more buffers and partition!

# Virtual Channels

- Same physical link/channel between routers
  - additional buffers in each router to avoid deadlocks called "virtual" channels



### Example 1

• Policy: XY in VC0, YX in VC 1



# Example 2



## **Escape Virtual Channels**



# Router Microarchitecture



• Suppose we have a Ring network



# What does each "router" look like?



Note: only showing anti-clockwise ring for illustration

# Link Arbitration



**1.** Who should use output link?

*Traffic already on ring has priority* 

2. What to do with the other flit (from ring/core)

Wait

# **Arbitration Protocol**



# Buffer Management

- What should a flit do if its output is blocked?
  - Option 1: Drop!
    - Send a NACK back for dropped packet or have a timeout
      - Source retransmits
      - Implicit congestion control
    - Flow control protocol on the Internet
    - Advantage: can be bufferless!
    - Challenges?
      - Latency and energy overhead of re-transmitting more than that of buffering so not preferred on-chip

# Buffer Management

- What should a flit do if its output is blocked?
  - Option 2: Misroute!
    - As long as N input ports and N output ports, can send flit out of some other output port
      - called "bouncing" on a ring
    - Advantage: can be bufferless!
    - Challenges
      - Energy
        - » Routes become non-minimal more energy consumption at router latches and on links
      - Performance
        - » Non-minimal routes can lead to longer delays
      - Correctness
        - » Livelock! cannot *guarantee* forward progress
          - » Not the same as deadlock
          - » Need to restrict number of misroutes of same packet

# Buffer Management

- What should a flit do if its output is blocked?
  - Option 3: Wait!
    - Signal to previous router to not send any more flits till the input at this router can be drained
    - **Backpressure** techniques
      - On/Off : one bit to signal if next router can receive or not
        - » Challenge: Delay of on/off signal
      - Credit-based : A count of how many flits can be sent to the next node?
        - » When should credit be decremented?

Whenever a flit is sent to the next router

» When should credit be incremented?

Whenever a flit leaves the next router

### More general topology



### What's Inside A Router?

- It's a system as well
  - Logic State machines, Arbiters, Allocators
    - Control data movement through router
    - Idle, Routing, Waiting for resources, Active
  - Memory Buffers
    - Store flits before forwarding them
    - SRAMs, registers, processor memory
  - Communication Switches
    - Transfer flits from input to output ports
    - Crossbars, multiple crossbars, fully-connected, bus

# Virtual-channel Router



#### Router Pipeline vs. Processor Pipeline

- Logical stages:
  - BW
  - RC
  - VA
  - SA
  - BR
  - ST
  - LT
- Different flits go through different stages
- Different routers have different variants
  - E.g. speculation, lookaheads, bypassing
- Different implementations of each pipeline stage

- Logical stages:
  - IF
  - ID
  - EX
  - MEM
  - WB
- Different instructions go through different stages
- Different processors have different variants
  - E.g. speculation, ISA
- Different implementations of each pipeline stage

# **Baseline Router Pipeline**



- Route computation performed once per packet
- Virtual channel allocated once per packet
- Body and tail flits inherit this info from head flit

November 1, 2023

# Allocators In Routers

- VC Allocator
  - Input VCs requesting for a range of output VCs
  - Example: A packet of VC0 arrives at East input port. It's destined for west output port, and would like to get any of the VCs of that output port.
- Switch Allocator
  - Input VCs of an input port request for different output ports (e.g., One's going North, another's going West)
- "Greedy" algorithms used for efficiency
- What happens if allocation fails on a given cycle?

# VC & Switch Allocation Stalls



Body Flit 3

SA

ST

#### Pipeline Optimizations: Lookahead Routing [Galles, SGI Spider Chip]

 At current router, perform route computation for next router



- Head flit already carries output port for next router
- RC just has to read output  $\rightarrow$  fast, can be overlapped with BW
- Precomputing route allows flits to compete for VCs immediately after BW
- Routing computation for the next hop (NRC) can be computed in parallel with VA
- Or simplify RC (e.g., X-Y routing is very fast)

#### Pipeline Optimizations: Speculative Switch Allocation [Peh & Dally, 2001]

- Assume that Virtual Channel Allocation stage will be successful
  - Valid under low to moderate loads
- If both successful, VA and SA are done in parallel



- If VA unsuccessful (no virtual channel returned)
  Must repeat VA/SA in next cycle
- Prioritize non-speculative SA requests

#### **Today: 1-2 cycles per router**

November 1, 2023

MIT 6.5900 (ne 6.823) Fall 2023

# Additional Self Reading: Evaluating NoCs

### **Network Latency**





$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{N}}$	Network Latency	
---------------------------	-----------------	--

- t<sub>r</sub> Router Latency
- t<sub>1</sub> Link Latency
- н Hops
- **T**<sub>c</sub> Contention Latency
- **T**<sub>s</sub> Serialization Latency
  - (for multi-flit packets)

Which of these is static? $t_r$  $t_w$  $T_s$ Which of these is dynamic<br/>(traffic-dependent)?H $T_c$ 

November 1, 2023

# **Evaluating NoCs**



# Open Research questions in NoCs

- "Best" *on-chip* topology
  - Uniform vs Hierarchical
  - Few routers with more ports ("High-Radix") or more routers with few ports ("Low-Radix")
- NoCs with unconventional interconnects
  - Photonic, RF, wireless
- Resilient NoCs
  - How to deal with run-time failures of links and routers
- NoCs for heterogeneous SoCs
  - Smartphones, IoT
- NoCs for Accelerators
  - NoCs for FPGAs
  - NoCs for deep learning accelerators
  - NoCs for database accelerators
  - NoCs for graph processing accelerators

Surge of research in last few years

# Thank you!

#### Next Lecture: VLIW

MIT 6.5900 (ne 6.823) Fall 2023