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The IBM 650 (1953-4)

Magnetic Drum (1,000 or 2,000 10-digit decimal words)

Active instruction (including next program counter)

Digit-serial ALU

20-digit accumulator

[From 650 Manual, © IBM]
Programmer’s view of a machine: IBM 650

A drum machine with 44 instructions

Instruction: 60 1234 1009
- “Load the contents of location 1234 into the distribution; put it also into the upper accumulator; set lower accumulator to zero; and then go to location 1009 for the next instruction.”

- Programmer’s view of the machine was inseparable from the actual hardware implementation
- Good programmers optimized the placement of instructions on the drum to reduce latency!
Compatibility Problem at IBM

By early 60’s, *IBM had 4 incompatible lines of computers!*

- 701 → 7094
- 650 → 7074
- 702 → 7080
- 1401 → 7010

Each system had its own
- Instruction set
- I/O system and Secondary Storage: magnetic tapes, drums and disks
- assemblers, compilers, libraries,…
- market niche business, scientific, real time, …

⇒ *IBM 360*
IBM 360: Design Premises
Amdahl, Blaauw and Brooks, 1964

The design must lend itself to growth and successor machines

- General method for connecting I/O devices
- Total performance - answers per month rather than bits per microsecond ⇒ programming aids
- Machine must be capable of supervising itself without manual intervention
- Built-in hardware fault checking and locating aids to reduce down time
- Simple to assemble systems with redundant I/O devices, memories etc. for fault tolerance
- Some problems required floating point words larger than 36 bits
Processor State and Data Types

- The information held in the processor at the end of an instruction to provide the processing context for the next instruction.

Program Counter, Accumulator, . . .

- The information held in the processor will be interpreted as having data types manipulated by the instructions.

- If the processing of an instruction can be interrupted then the hardware must save and restore the state in a transparent manner.

*Programmer’s machine model is a contract between the hardware and software*
Instruction set

The control for changing the information held in the processor are specified by the instructions available in the instruction set architecture or ISA.

Some things an ISA must specify:

- A way to reference registers and memory
- The computational operations available
- How to control the sequence of instructions
- A binary representation for all of the above

ISA must satisfy the needs of the software:
- assembler, compiler, OS, VM
IBM 360: A General-Purpose Register (GPR) Machine

• Processor State
  – 16 General-Purpose 32-bit Registers
  – 4 Floating Point 64-bit Registers
  – A Program Status Word (PSW)
    • PC, Condition codes, Control flags

• Data Formats
  – 8-bit bytes, 16-bit half-words, 32-bit words, 64-bit double-words
  – 24-bit addresses

• A 32-bit machine with 24-bit addresses
  – No instruction contains a 24-bit address!

• Precise interrupts
IBM 360: Initial Implementations (1964)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 30</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>Model 70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Memory Capacity</strong></td>
<td>8K - 64 KB</td>
<td></td>
<td>256K - 512 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Memory Cycle</strong></td>
<td>2.0µs</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.0µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Datapath</strong></td>
<td>8-bit</td>
<td></td>
<td>64-bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Circuit Delay</strong></td>
<td>30 nsec/level</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 nsec/level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Registers</strong></td>
<td>in Main Store</td>
<td></td>
<td>in Transistor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control Store</strong></td>
<td>Read only 1µsec</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dedicated circuits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Six implementations (Models, 30, 40, 50, 60, 62, 70)
- 50x performance difference across models
- *ISA completely hid the underlying technological differences between various models*

With minor modifications, IBM 360 ISA is still in use
IBM 360: Forty-Six years later…
zEnterprise196 Microprocessor

• 1.4 billion transistors, Quad core design
• Up to 96 cores (80 visible to OS) in one multichip module
• 5.2 GHz, IBM 45nm SOI CMOS technology
• 64-bit virtual addressing
  – original 360 was 24-bit; 370 was a 31-bit extension
• Superscalar, out-of-order
  – Up to 72 instructions in flight
• Variable length instruction pipeline: 15-17 stages
• Each core has 2 integer units, 2 load-store units and 2 floating point units
• 8K-entry Branch Target Buffer
  – Very large buffer to support commercial workloads
• Four Levels of caches:
  – 64KB L1 I-cache, 128KB L1 D-cache
  – 1.5MB L2 cache per core
  – 24MB shared on-chip L3 cache
  – 192MB shared off-chip L4 cache

[ September 2010 ]
Instruction Set Architecture (ISA) versus Implementation

- ISA is the hardware/software interface
  - Defines set of programmer visible state
  - Defines data types
  - Defines instruction semantics (operations, sequencing)
  - Defines instruction format (bit encoding)
  - Examples: MIPS, Alpha, x86, IBM 360, VAX, ARM, JVM

- Many possible implementations of one ISA
  - 360 implementations: model 30 (c. 1964), zEnterprise196 (c. 2010)
  - x86 implementations: 8086 (c. 1978), 80186, 286, 386, 486, Pentium, Pentium Pro, Pentium-4, Core i7, AMD Athlon, AMD Opteron, Transmeta Crusoe, SoftPC
  - MIPS implementations: R2000, R4000, R10000, ...
  - JVM: HotSpot, PicoJava, ARM Jazelle, ...
## Processor Performance

\[
\text{Time}_{\text{Program}} = \frac{\text{Instructions}_{\text{Program}}}{\text{Cycles}_{\text{Instruction}}} \times \frac{\text{Time}_{\text{Cycle}}}{\text{Cycle}}
\]

- Instructions per program depends on source code, compiler technology and ISA
- Cycles per instructions (CPI) depends upon the ISA and the microarchitecture
- Time per cycle depends upon the microarchitecture and the base technology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microarchitecture</th>
<th>CPI</th>
<th>cycle time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microcoded</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-cycle unpipelined</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipelined</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

rest of this lecture
Hardware Elements

• Combinational circuits
  - Mux, Demux, Decoder, ALU, ...

  ![Mux Diagram](image)

  ![Demux Diagram](image)

  ![Decoder Diagram](image)

  ![ALU Diagram](image)

  - OpSelect
    - Add, Sub, ...
    - And, Or, Xor, Not, ...
    - GT, LT, EQ, Zero, ...

  Result
  Comp?

• Synchronous state elements
  - Flipflop, Register, Register file, SRAM, DRAM

  ![Flipflop Diagram](image)

  ![Register Diagram](image)

  ![SRAM Diagram](image)

  ![DRAM Diagram](image)

Edge-triggered: Data is sampled at the rising edge
No timing issues in reading a selected register
Register files with a large number of ports are difficult to design

- Area scales with ports
- Almost all Alpha instructions have exactly 2 register source operands
- Intel’s Itanium GPR File has 128 registers with 8 read ports and 4 write ports!!!
A Simple Memory Model

- Reads and writes are always completed in one cycle
  - A Read can be done any time (i.e., combinational)
  - If enabled, a Write is performed at the rising clock edge *(the write address and data must be stable at the clock edge)*

*Later in the course we will present a more realistic model of memory*
Implementing MIPS:
Single-cycle per instruction datapath & control logic
The MIPS ISA

Processor State
32 32-bit GPRs, R0 always contains a 0
32 single precision FPRs, may also be viewed as
    16 double precision FPRs
FP status register, used for FP compares & exceptions
PC, the program counter
Some other special registers

Data types
8-bit byte, 16-bit half word
32-bit word for integers
32-bit word for single precision floating point
64-bit word for double precision floating point

Load/Store style instruction set
Data addressing modes: immediate & indexed
Branch addressing modes: PC relative & register indirect
Byte-addressable memory, big-endian mode

All instructions are 32 bits
Execution of an instruction involves

1. Instruction fetch
2. Decode
3. Register fetch
4. ALU operation
5. Memory operation (optional)
6. Write back

And computing the address of the
next instruction (next PC)
Datapath: Reg-Reg ALU

Instructions

RegWrite Timing?

rd ← (rs) func (rt)
Datapath: Reg-Imm ALU Instructions

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>opcode</td>
<td>rs</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>immediate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2120</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

rt ← (rs) op immediate
Conflicts in Merging Datapath

Introduce muxes

rd ← (rs) func (rt)
rt ← (rs) op immediate
Datapath for ALU Instructions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>opcode</th>
<th>rs</th>
<th>rt</th>
<th>rd</th>
<th>immediate</th>
<th>func</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

rd ← (rs) func (rt)
rt ← (rs) op immediate
Datapath for Memory Instructions

Should program and data memory be separate?

*Harvard style: separate* (Aiken and Mark 1 influence)
- read-only program memory
- read/write data memory

- Note:
  There must be a way to load the program memory

*Princeton style: the same* (von Neumann’s influence)
- single read/write memory for program and data

- Note:
  Executing a Load or Store instruction requires accessing the memory more than once
Load/Store Instructions
Harvard Datapath

6     5 5               16                   addressing mode
       opcode   rs rt         displacement           (rs) + displacement

0x4  Add

PC

addr
Inst. Memory

inst

“base”

reg
ExtSel

disp

ALU

ALU
Control

Inst.

Clock
	
clock

RegWrite

MemWrite

WBSrc
ALU / Mem

rs is the base register
rt is the destination of a Load or the source for a Store
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MIPS Control Instructions

Conditional (on GPR) PC-relative branch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>opcode</td>
<td>rs</td>
<td></td>
<td>offset</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BEQZ, BNEZ

Unconditional register-indirect jumps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>opcode</td>
<td>rs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JR, JALR

Unconditional absolute jumps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6</th>
<th>26</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>opcode</td>
<td>target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

J, JAL

- PC-relative branches add offset×4 to PC+4 to calculate the target address (offset is in words): ±128 KB range
- Absolute jumps append target×4 to PC<31:28> to calculate the target address: 256 MB range
- Jump-&-link stores PC+4 into the link register (R31)
- Control transfers are not delayed

we will worry about the branch delay slot later
Conditional Branches (BEQZ, BNEZ)
Register-Indirect Jumps (JR)
Register-Indirect Jump-&-Link (JALR)
Absolute Jumps (J, JAL)
Harvard-Style Datapath for MIPS
Hardwired Control is pure Combinational Logic

op code
zero?

combinational logic

ExtSel
BSrc
OpSel
MemWrite
WBSrc
RegDst
RegWrite
PCSrc
ALU Control & Immediate Extension

Inst<5:0> (Func)
Inst<31:26> (Opcode)

ALUop

OpSel (Func, Op, +, 0?)
ExtSel (sExt_{16}, uExt_{16}, High_{16})

Decode Map

+ 0?
# Hardwired Control Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opcode</th>
<th>ExtSel</th>
<th>BSrc</th>
<th>OpSel</th>
<th>MemW</th>
<th>RegW</th>
<th>WBSrc</th>
<th>RegDst</th>
<th>PCSrc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALU</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>Reg</td>
<td>Func</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>ALU</td>
<td>rd</td>
<td>pc+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALUi</td>
<td>sExt\textsubscript{16}</td>
<td>Imm</td>
<td>Op</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>ALU</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>pc+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALUiu</td>
<td>uExt\textsubscript{16}</td>
<td>Imm</td>
<td>Op</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>ALU</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>pc+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW</td>
<td>sExt\textsubscript{16}</td>
<td>Imm</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Mem</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>pc+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>sExt\textsubscript{16}</td>
<td>Imm</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>pc+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEQZ_{z=0}</td>
<td>sExt\textsubscript{16}</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0?</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>br</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEQZ_{z=1}</td>
<td>sExt\textsubscript{16}</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0?</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>pc+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>jabs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAL</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>R31</td>
<td>jabs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>rind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JALR</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>R31</td>
<td>rind</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BSrc = Reg / Imm  \hspace{1cm} WBSrc = ALU / Mem / PC
RegDst = rt / rd / R31 \hspace{1cm} PCSrc = pc+4 / br / rind / jabs
Single-Cycle Hardwired Control: *Harvard architecture*

We will assume

- clock period is sufficiently long for all of the following steps to be “completed”:

1. instruction fetch
2. decode and register fetch
3. ALU operation
4. data fetch if required
5. register write-back setup time

\[ t_C > t_{IFetch} + t_{RFetch} + t_{ALU} + t_{DMem} + t_{RWB} \]

- At the rising edge of the following clock, the PC, the register file and the memory are updated
Princeton challenge

- What problem arises if instructions and data reside in the same memory?

At least the instruction fetch and a Load (or Store) cannot be executed in the same cycle

Structural hazard
Two-State Controller: Princeton Architecture

**fetch phase**

- AddrSrc=PC
- IRen=on
- PCen=off
- Wen=off

**execute phase**

- AddrSrc=ALU
- IRen=off
- PCen=on
- Wen=on

A flipflop can be used to remember the phase
Hardwired Controller:
Princeton Architecture

**Old Combinational Logic (Harvard):**
- IR
- op code
- zero?
- ExtSel, BSrc, OpSel, WBSrc, RegDest, PCsrc1, PCsrc2
- MemWrite
- RegWrite

**New Combinational Logic:**
- S
- 1-bit Toggle FF
- I-fetch / Execute
- PCen
- IRen
- AddrSrc
- Wen
Clock Rate vs CPI

Is it possible to design a controller for the Princeton architecture with CPI < 2?

\[ t_{C-Princeton} > \max \{t_M, t_{RF} + t_{ALU} + t_M + t_{WB}\} \]
\[ t_{C-Princeton} > t_{RF} + t_{ALU} + t_M + t_{WB} \]
\[ t_{C-Harvard} > t_M + t_{RF} + t_{ALU} + t_M + t_{WB} \]

Suppose \( t_M >> t_{RF} + t_{ALU} + t_{WB} \)

\[ t_{C-Princeton} = 0.5 \times t_{C-Harvard} \]
\[ \text{CPI}_{Princeton} = 2 \]
\[ \text{CPI}_{Harvard} = 1 \]

No difference in performance!

Is it possible to design a controller for the Princeton architecture with CPI < 2?

Stay tuned!

CPI = Clock cycles Per Instruction
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