On-Chip Networks II: Router Microarchitecture & Routing

Daniel Sanchez
Computer Science & Artificial Intelligence Lab
M.I.T.

Interconnection Network Architecture

- *Topology*: How to connect the nodes up? (processors, memories, router line cards, …)
- *Routing*: Which path should a message take?
- *Flow control*: How is the message actually forwarded from source to destination?
- *Router microarchitecture*: How to build the routers?
- *Link microarchitecture*: How to build the links?

Router
Microarchitecture

Sanchez & Emer

Ring-based Interconnect

Ring Stop

Input

Allow input if
no traffic on ring

L
a
t
c
h

Output

If there is traffic on
ring, should traffic on
ring or new input get
priority?

Ring Flow Control: Priorities

Rotary Rule – <u>traffic in ring has priority</u>

Ring Flow Control: Bounces

What if traffic on the ring cannot get delivered, e.g., if output FIFO is full?

One alternative: Continue on ring (bounce)

What are the consequences of such bounces?

Traffic on ring no longer FIFO

# General Interconnect
Tilera, Knights Landing…

What's In A Router?

- ## It's a system as well
  - Logic – State machines, Arbiters, Allocators
    - Control data movement through router
    - Idle, Routing, Waiting for resources, Active
  - Memory – Buffers
    - Store flits before forwarding them
    - SRAMs, registers, processor memory
  - Communication – Switches
    - Transfer flits from input to output ports
   - Crossbars, multiple crossbars, fully-connected, bus

Virtual-channel Router

Router Pipeline vs. Processor Pipeline

- Logical stages:
  - BW
  - RC
  - VA
  - SA
  - BR
  - ST
  - LT
- Different flits go through different stages
- Different routers have different variants
  - E.g. speculation, lookaheads, bypassing
- Different implementations of each pipeline stage

- Logical stages:
  - IF
  - ID
  - EX
  - MEM
  - WB
- Different instructions go through different stages
- Different processors have different variants
  - E.g. speculation, ISA
- Different implementations of each pipeline stage

Baseline Router Pipeline

| Head	BW	RC	VA	SA	ST	LT			
Body 1		BW			SA	ST	LT		
Body 2			BW			SA	ST	LT	
Tail				BW			SA	ST	LT

- Route computation performed once per packet
- Virtual channel allocated once per packet
- Body and tail flits inherit this info from head flit

Allocators In Routers

- ## VC Allocator
  - – Input VCs requesting for a range of output VCs
  - – Example: A packet of VC0 arrives at East input port. It's destined for west output port, and would like to get any of the VCs of that output port.

- ## Switch Allocator
  - – Input VCs of an input port request for different output ports (e.g., One's going North, another's going West)

- ## "Greedy" algorithms used for efficiency

- ## What happens if allocation fails on a given cycle?

VC & Switch Allocation Stalls

Pipeline Optimizations: Lookahead Routing [Galles, SGI Spider Chip]

- ## At current router, perform route computation for next router

BW RC	VA NRC	SA	ST	LT

  – Head flit already carries output port for next router

  – RC just has to read output → fast, can be overlapped with BW

  – Precomputing route allows flits to compete for VCs immediately after BW

  – Routing computation for the next hop (NRC) can be computed in parallel with VA

- ## Or simplify RC (e.g., X-Y routing is very fast)

Pipeline Optimizations: Speculative Switch Allocation [Peh&Dally, 2001]

- Assume that Virtual Channel Allocation stage will be successful
  - Valid under low to moderate loads
- If both successful, VA and SA are done in parallel

BW RC	VA SA	ST	LT

- If VA unsuccessful (no virtual channel returned)
  - Must repeat VA/SA in next cycle
- Prioritize non-speculative requests

Routing

Sanchez & Emer

Properties of Routing Algorithms

- Deterministic/Oblivious
  - route determined by (source, dest),
  - not intermediate state (i.e. traffic)

- Adaptive
  - route influenced by traffic along the way

- Minimal
  - only selects shortest paths

- Deadlock-free
  - no traffic pattern can lead to a situation where no packets move forward

Network Deadlock

- Flow A holds <u>u</u> and <u>v</u> but cannot make progress until it acquires channel <u>w</u>
- Flow B holds channels <u>w</u> and <u>x</u> but cannot make progress until it acquires channel <u>u</u>

Dimension-Order Routing

XY-order

YX-order

Uses 2 out of 4 turns

Uses 2 out of 4 turns

XY is deadlock free, YX is deadlock free, what about XY+YX?
NO!

DOR – Turns allowed

- One way of looking at whether a routing algorithm is deadlock free is to look at the turns allowed.

- Deadlocks may occur if turns can form a cycle

XY Model

YX Model

Allowing more turns

- Allowing more turns may allow adaptive routing, but also deadlock

Six turn model

Turn Model [Glass and Ni, 1994]

- A systematic way of generating deadlock-free routes with small number of prohibited turns

- Deadlock-free if routes conform to at least ONE of the turn models (acyclic channel dependence graph)

West-First Turn Model

North-Last Turn Model

2-D Mesh and CDG

Can create a channel dependency graph (CDG) of the network.

Vertices in the CDG represent network *links*

Disallowing 180° turns, e.g., AB → BA

Cycles in CDG

The channel dependency graph D derived from the network topology may contain many <u>cycles</u>

Flow routed through links AB, BE, EF
Flow routed through links EF, FA, AB
Deadlock!

Key Insight

If routes of flows conform to acyclic CDG, then there will be no possibility of deadlock!

**Disallow/Delete certain edges in CDG**

Edges in CDG correspond to turns in network!

Acyclic CDG-> Deadlock-free routes

Turns could be prohibited ad-hoc, all the edges in red are deleted

Ad-hoc Acyclic CDG

West-first → Deadlock-free routes

Per the West-First prohibited turns, all the edges in red are deleted

West-First Acyclic CDG

Resource Conflicts → Deadlock

Routing deadlocks in wormhole routing result from Structural hazard at router resources, e.g., buffers.

How can structural hazards be avoided?

Adding more resources

Virtual Channels

- Virtual channels can be used to avoid deadlock by restricting VC allocation

CDG and Virtual Channels

Randomized Routing: Valiant

- Route each packet through a randomly chosen intermediate node

A packet, going from node S_A to node D_A, is first routed from S_A to a randomly chosen intermediate node I_A, before going from I_A to final destination D_A.

It helps load-balance the network and has a good worst-case performance at the expense of locality.

ROMM: Randomized, Oblivious Multi-phase Minimal Routing

To retain locality, choose intermediate node in the minimal quadrant

Equivalent to randomly selecting among the various minimal paths from source to destination