


Directory-Based Cache Coherence

Mengjia Yan


Computer Science & Artificial Intelligence Lab
M.I.T.

Based on slides from Daniel Sanchez


Valid/Invalid Example




Valid/Invalid Example




Valid/Invalid Example



Valid/Invalid Example



Valid/Invalid Example



Maintaining Cache Coherence

It is sufficient to have hardware such that

- only one processor at a time has write permission for a location
- no processor can load a stale copy of the location after a write

Maintaining Cache Coherence

It is sufficient to have hardware such that

- only one processor at a time has write permission for a location
- no processor can load a stale copy of the location after a write

⇒ A correct approach could be: (e.g. MSI)

Maintaining Cache Coherence

It is sufficient to have hardware such that

- only one processor at a time has write permission for a location
- no processor can load a stale copy of the location after a write

⇒ A correct approach could be: (e.g. MSI)

write request:

Maintaining Cache Coherence

It is sufficient to have hardware such that

- only one processor at a time has write permission for a location
- no processor can load a stale copy of the location after a write

⇒ A correct approach could be: (e.g. MSI)

write request:

The address is *invalidated* in all other caches *before* the write is performed

Maintaining Cache Coherence

It is sufficient to have hardware such that

- only one processor at a time has write permission for a location
- no processor can load a stale copy of the location after a write

⇒ A correct approach could be: (e.g. MSI)

write request:

The address is *invalidated* in all other caches *before* the write is performed

read request:

Maintaining Cache Coherence

It is sufficient to have hardware such that

- only one processor at a time has write permission for a location
- no processor can load a stale copy of the location after a write

⇒ A correct approach could be: (e.g. MSI)

write request:

The address is *invalidated* in all other caches *before* the write is performed


read request:

If a dirty copy is found in some cache, a write-back is performed before the memory is read

Directory-Based Coherence

(Censier and Feautrier, 1978)

Snoopy Protocols (Goodman 1983)




- Snoopy schemes **broadcast** requests over memory bus
- Difficult to scale to large numbers of processors
- Requires additional bandwidth to cache tags for snoop requests


Directory-Based Coherence

(Censier and Feautrier, 1978)

Snoopy Protocols (Goodman 1983)



Directory Protocols




- Snoopy schemes **broadcast** requests over memory bus
- Difficult to scale to large numbers of processors
- Requires additional bandwidth to cache tags for snoop requests

Directory-Based Coherence


(Censier and Feautrier, 1978)

Snoopy Protocols (Goodman 1983)




- Snoopy schemes **broadcast** requests over memory bus
- Difficult to scale to large numbers of processors
- Requires additional bandwidth to cache tags for snoop requests

Directory Protocols




- Directory schemes send messages to **only those caches that might have the line**
- Can scale to large numbers of processors
- Requires extra directory storage to track possible sharers

An MSI Directory Protocol




- Cache states: Modified (M) / Shared (S) / Invalid (I)

An MSI Directory Protocol



- Cache states: Modified (M) / Shared (S) / Invalid (I)
- Directory states:
 - Uncached (Un): No sharers
 - Shared (Sh): One or more sharers with read permission (S)
 - Exclusive (Ex): A single sharer with read & write permissions (M)

An MSI Directory Protocol



- Cache states: Modified (M) / Shared (S) / Invalid (I)
- Directory states:
 - Uncached (Un): No sharers
 - Shared (Sh): One or more sharers with read permission (S)
 - Exclusive (Ex): A single sharer with read & write permissions (M)
- Transient states not drawn for clarity; for now, assume no racing requests

MSI Protocol: Caches (1/3)

Transitions initiated by processor accesses:

M


S

I

Actions
Processor Read (PrRd)
Processor Write (PrWr)
Shared Request (ShReq)
Exclusive Request (ExReq)

MSI Protocol: Caches (1/3)


Transitions initiated by processor accesses:



Actions
Processor Read (PrRd)
Processor Write (PrWr)
Shared Request (ShReq)
Exclusive Request (ExReq)

MSI Protocol: Caches (1/3)


Transitions initiated by processor accesses:



Actions
Processor Read (PrRd)
Processor Write (PrWr)
Shared Request (ShReq)
Exclusive Request (ExReq)

MSI Protocol: Caches (1/3)


Transitions initiated by processor accesses:



Actions
Processor Read (PrRd)
Processor Write (PrWr)
Shared Request (ShReq)
Exclusive Request (ExReq)

MSI Protocol: Caches (1/3)


Transitions initiated by processor accesses:



Actions
Processor Read (PrRd)
Processor Write (PrWr)
Shared Request (ShReq)
Exclusive Request (ExReq)

MSI Protocol: Caches (1/3)

Transitions initiated by processor accesses:



Actions
Processor Read (PrRd)
Processor Write (PrWr)
Shared Request (ShReq)
Exclusive Request (ExReq)

MSI Protocol: Caches (2/3)

Transitions initiated by directory requests:

M


S

I

Actions
Invalidation Request (InvReq)
Downgrade Request (DownReq)
Invalidation Response (InvResp)
Downgrade Response (DownResp)

MSI Protocol: Caches (2/3)


Transitions initiated by directory requests:



Actions
Invalidation Request (InvReq)
Downgrade Request (DownReq)
Invalidation Response (InvResp)
Downgrade Response (DownResp)

MSI Protocol: Caches (2/3)


Transitions initiated by directory requests:



Actions
Invalidation Request (InvReq)
Downgrade Request (DownReq)
Invalidation Response (InvResp)
Downgrade Response (DownResp)

MSI Protocol: Caches (2/3)

Transitions initiated by directory requests:



Actions
Invalidation Request (InvReq)
Downgrade Request (DownReq)
Invalidation Response (InvResp)
Downgrade Response (DownResp)

MSI Protocol: Caches (3/3)

Transitions initiated by evictions:

M


S

I

Actions
Writeback Request (WbReq)

MSI Protocol: Caches (3/3)


Transitions initiated by evictions:



Actions
Writeback Request (WbReq)

MSI Protocol: Caches (3/3)


Transitions initiated by evictions:



Actions
Writeback Request (WbReq)

MSI Protocol: Caches

- Transitions initiated by processor accesses
- Transitions initiated by directory requests
- Transitions initiated by evictions



MSI Protocol: Directory (1/2)

Transitions initiated by data requests:


Ex

Sh

Un


MSI Protocol: Directory (1/2)

Transitions initiated by data requests:



ShReq / Sharers = Sharers + {P}; ShResp


ShReq / Sharers = {P}; ShResp



MSI Protocol: Directory (1/2)

Transitions initiated by data requests:


ExReq / Sharers = {P}; ExResp



MSI Protocol: Directory (1/2)

Transitions initiated by data requests:


ExReq / Sharers = {P}; ExResp



MSI Protocol: Directory (1/2)

Transitions initiated by data requests:

ExReq / Sharers = {P}; ExResp



MSI Protocol: Directory (2/2)

Transitions initiated by writeback requests:


Ex

Sh

Un


MSI Protocol: Directory (2/2)

Transitions initiated by writeback requests:




MSI Protocol: Directory (2/2)

Transitions initiated by writeback requests:




MSI Protocol: Directory (2/2)


Transitions initiated by writeback requests:



MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




1 LD 0xA

MSI Directory Protocol Example




1 LD 0xA

MSI Directory Protocol Example




2 ShReq 0xA




1 LD 0xA

MSI Directory Protocol Example




2 ShReq 0xA




1 LD 0xA


MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example







MSI Directory Protocol Example



MSI Directory Protocol Example







MSI Directory Protocol Example




1 LD 0xA

MSI Directory Protocol Example




1 LD 0xA


MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example



MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




1 ST 0xA


MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example



MSI Directory Protocol Example




MSI Directory Protocol Example



Why are 0xA's wb and 0xB's req serialized?


MSI Directory Protocol Example



Why are 0xA's wb and 0xB's req serialized?

Structural dependence


MSI Directory Protocol Example



Why are 0xA's wb and 0xB's req serialized?
Possible solutions?

Structural dependence

MSI Directory Protocol Example




Why are 0xA's wb and 0xB's req serialized? **Structural dependence**

Possible solutions? **Buffer outside of cache to hold write data**

Miss Status Handling Register

MSHR – Holds load misses and writes outside of cache


MSHR entry



- On eviction/writeback
 - No free MSHR entry: stall
 - Allocate new MSHR entry
 - When channel available send WBReq and data
 - Deallocate entry on WBResp

Miss Status Handling Register


MSHR – Holds load misses and writes outside of cache



- On cache load miss
 - No free MSHR entry: stall
 - Allocate new MSHR entry
 - Send ShReq (or ExReq)
 - On *Resp forward data to CPU and cache
 - Deallocate MSHR


Miss Status Handling Register

MSHR – Holds load misses and writes outside of cache



Miss Status Handling Register


MSHR – Holds load misses and writes outside of cache



Per Id/st slots allow servicing multiple requests with one entry

Miss Status Handling Register

MSHR – Holds load misses and writes outside of cache



- On cache load miss
 - Look for matching address in MSHR
 - If not found
 - If no free MSHR entry: stall
 - Allocate new MSHR entry and fill in
 - If found, just fill in per Id/st slot
 - Send ShReq (or ExReq)
 - On *Resp forward data to CPU and cache
 - Deallocate MSHR


Per Id/st slots allow servicing multiple requests with one entry

Directory Organization

- Requirement: Directory needs to keep track of all the cores that are sharing a cache block
- Challenge: For each block, the space needed to hold the list of sharers grows with number of possible sharers...


Flat, Memory-based Directories

- Dedicate a few bits of main memory to store the state and sharers of every line
- Encode sharers using a bit-vector



Flat, Memory-based Directories

- Dedicate a few bits of main memory to store the state and sharers of every line
- Encode sharers using a bit-vector




✓ Simple

✗ Slow

✗ Very inefficient with many processors ($\sim P$ bits/line)


Sparse Full-Map Directories

- Not every line in the system needs to be tracked – only those in private caches!
- Idea: Organize directory as a cache



Sparse Full-Map Directories





- Not every line in the system needs to be tracked – only those in private caches!
- Idea: Organize directory as a cache



- ✓ Low latency, energy-efficient
- ✗ Bit-vectors grow with # cores → Area scales poorly
- ✗ Limited associativity → Directory-induced invalidations





Directory-Induced Invalidations

- To retain inclusion, must invalidate all sharers of an entry before reusing it for another address
- Example: 2-way set-associative sparse directory



Directory-Induced Invalidations





- To retain inclusion, must invalidate all sharers of an entry before reusing it for another address
- Example: 2-way set-associative sparse directory



1 LD 0xB

Directory-Induced Invalidations


- To retain inclusion, must invalidate all sharers of an entry before reusing it for another address
- Example: 2-way set-associative sparse directory



1 LD 0xB


Directory-Induced Invalidations

- To retain inclusion, must invalidate all sharers of an entry before reusing it for another address
- Example: 2-way set-associative sparse directory




Directory-Induced Invalidations

- To retain inclusion, must invalidate all sharers of an entry before reusing it for another address
- Example: 2-way set-associative sparse directory




Directory-Induced Invalidations

- To retain inclusion, must invalidate all sharers of an entry before reusing it for another address
- Example: 2-way set-associative sparse directory




Directory-Induced Invalidations

- To retain inclusion, must invalidate all sharers of an entry before reusing it for another address
- Example: 2-way set-associative sparse directory




Directory-Induced Invalidations

- To retain inclusion, must invalidate all sharers of an entry before reusing it for another address
- Example: 2-way set-associative sparse directory




Directory-Induced Invalidations

- To retain inclusion, must invalidate all sharers of an entry before reusing it for another address
- Example: 2-way set-associative sparse directory




Directory-Induced Invalidations

- To retain inclusion, must invalidate all sharers of an entry before reusing it for another address
- Example: 2-way set-associative sparse directory




Directory-Induced Invalidations

- To retain inclusion, must invalidate all sharers of an entry before reusing it for another address
- Example: 2-way set-associative sparse directory



Directory-Induced Invalidations


- To retain inclusion, must invalidate all sharers of an entry before reusing it for another address
- Example: 2-way set-associative sparse directory




How many entries should the directory have?

Inexact Representations of Sharer Sets

- Coarse-grain bit-vectors (e.g., 1 bit per 4 cores)




- Limited pointers: Maintain a few sharer pointers, on overflow mark 'all' and broadcast (or invalidate another sharer)




- Allow false positives (e.g., Bloom filters)

Inexact Representations of Sharer Sets

- Coarse-grain bit-vectors (e.g., 1 bit per 4 cores)




- Limited pointers: Maintain a few sharer pointers, on overflow mark 'all' and broadcast (or invalidate another sharer)



- Allow false positives (e.g., Bloom filters)
 - ✓ Reduced area & energy
 - ✗ Overheads still not scalable (these techniques simply play with constant factors)
 - ✗ Inexact sharers → Broadcasts, invalidations or spurious invalidations and downgrades

Protocol Races

- Directory serializes multiple requests for the same address
 - Same-address requests are queued or NACKed and retried
- But races still exist due to conflicting requests
- Example: Upgrade race



Cache 0 structure:

Tag	State	Data
0xA	S	3

Core 0



Cache 1 structure:

Tag	State	Data
0xA	S	3


Core 1

Protocol Races

- Directory serializes multiple requests for the same address
 - Same-address requests are queued or NACKed and retried
- But races still exist due to conflicting requests
- Example: Upgrade race





1 ST 0xA




1' ST 0xA

Protocol Races

- Directory serializes multiple requests for the same address
 - Same-address requests are queued or NACKed and retried
- But races still exist due to conflicting requests
- Example: Upgrade race



1 ST 0xA




1' ST 0xA

Protocol Races


- Directory serializes multiple requests for the same address
 - Same-address requests are queued or NACKed and retried
- But races still exist due to conflicting requests
- Example: Upgrade race

Caches 0 and 1 issue simultaneous ExReqs




Protocol Races

- Directory serializes multiple requests for the same address
 - Same-address requests are queued or NACKed and retried
- But races still exist due to conflicting requests
- Example: Upgrade race



Protocol Races

- Directory serializes multiple requests for the same address
 - Same-address requests are queued or NACKed and retried
- But races still exist due to conflicting requests
- Example: Upgrade race




Caches 0 and 1 issue simultaneous ExReqs
 Directory starts serving cache 0's ExReq, queues cache 1's

Cache 1 expected ExResp, but got InvReq!

Protocol Races

- Directory serializes multiple requests for the same address
 - Same-address requests are queued or NACKed and retried
- But races still exist due to conflicting requests
- Example: Upgrade race



Caches 0 and 1 issue simultaneous ExReqs

Directory starts serving cache 0's ExReq, queues cache 1's


Cache 1 expected ExResp, but got InvReq!

Cache 1 should transition from S->M to I->M and send InvResp

Extra Hops and 3-Hop Protocols

Reducing Protocol Latency





- Problem: Data in another cache needs to pass through the directory, adding latency
- Optimization: Forward data to requester directly



Extra Hops and 3-Hop Protocols

Reducing Protocol Latency

- Problem: Data in another cache needs to pass through the directory, adding latency
- Optimization: Forward data to requester directly







1 ST 0xA

Extra Hops and 3-Hop Protocols

Reducing Protocol Latency

- Problem: Data in another cache needs to pass through the directory, adding latency
- Optimization: Forward data to requester directly




1 ST 0xA

Extra Hops and 3-Hop Protocols

Reducing Protocol Latency


- Problem: Data in another cache needs to pass through the directory, adding latency
- Optimization: Forward data to requester directly



Extra Hops and 3-Hop Protocols

Reducing Protocol Latency


- Problem: Data in another cache needs to pass through the directory, adding latency
- Optimization: Forward data to requester directly



Extra Hops and 3-Hop Protocols

Reducing Protocol Latency


- Problem: Data in another cache needs to pass through the directory, adding latency
- Optimization: Forward data to requester directly



Extra Hops and 3-Hop Protocols

Reducing Protocol Latency


- Problem: Data in another cache needs to pass through the directory, adding latency
- Optimization: Forward data to requester directly



Extra Hops and 3-Hop Protocols

Reducing Protocol Latency


- Problem: Data in another cache needs to pass through the directory, adding latency
- Optimization: Forward data to requester directly



Extra Hops and 3-Hop Protocols

Reducing Protocol Latency


- Problem: Data in another cache needs to pass through the directory, adding latency
- Optimization: Forward data to requester directly



Extra Hops and 3-Hop Protocols

Reducing Protocol Latency


- Problem: Data in another cache needs to pass through the directory, adding latency
- Optimization: Forward data to requester directly



Extra Hops and 3-Hop Protocols


Reducing Protocol Latency

- Problem: Data in another cache needs to pass through the directory, adding latency
- Optimization: Forward data to requester directly



In-Cache Directories

- Common multicore memory hierarchy:
 - 1+ levels of private caches
 - A shared last-level cache
 - Need to enforce coherence among private caches
- Idea: Embed the directory information in shared cache tags
 - Shared cache must be inclusive
 - Need extended directory if non-inclusive




In-Cache Directories

- Common multicore memory hierarchy:

- 1+ levels of private caches
- A shared last-level cache
- Need to enforce coherence among private caches

- Idea: Embed the directory information in shared cache tags


- Shared cache must be inclusive
- Need extended directory if non-inclusive



- ✓ Avoids tag overheads & separate lookups
- ✗ Can be inefficient if shared cache size \gg sum(private cache sizes)


Coherence in Multi-Level Hierarchies

- Can use the same or different protocols to keep coherence across multiple levels
- Key invariant: Ensure sufficient permissions in all intermediate levels
- Example: 8-socket Xeon E7 (8 cores/socket)



Avoiding Protocol Deadlock


- Protocols can cause deadlocks even if network is deadlock-free! (*more on this later*)



Example: Both nodes saturate all intermediate buffers with requests to each other, blocking responses from entering the network

Avoiding Protocol Deadlock

- Protocols can cause deadlocks even if network is deadlock-free! (*more on this later*)




Example: Both nodes saturate all intermediate buffers with requests to each other, blocking responses from entering the network

- Solution: Separate *virtual networks*
 - Different sets of virtual channels and endpoint buffers
 - Same physical routers and links

Avoiding Protocol Deadlock

- Protocols can cause deadlocks even if network is deadlock-free! (*more on this later*)



Example: Both nodes saturate all intermediate buffers with requests to each other, blocking responses from entering the network

- Solution: Separate *virtual networks*
 - Different sets of virtual channels and endpoint buffers
 - Same physical routers and links
- Most protocols require at least 2 virtual networks (for requests and replies), often >2 needed

Thank you!

*Next Lecture:
On-chip Networks*

Load-reserve & Store-conditional

Special register(s) to hold reservation flag and address, and the outcome of store-conditional

```
Load-reserve R, (a):  
  <flag, adr> ← <1, a>;  
  R ← M[a];
```

```
Store-conditional (a), R:  
  if <flag, adr> == <1, a>  
  then cancel other procs'  
        reservation on a;  
        M[a] ← <R>;  
        status ← succeed;  
  else status ← fail;
```

If the cache receives an invalidation to the address in the reserve register, the reserve bit is set to **0**

- Several processors may reserve 'a' simultaneously
- These instructions are like ordinary loads and stores with respect to the bus traffic

Load-Reserve/Store-Conditional

Swap implemented with Ld-Reserve/St-Conditional

Swap(R1, mutex):

L: Ld-Reserve R2, (mutex)
St-Conditional (mutex), R1
if (status == fail) goto L
R1 <- R2

Performance:

Load-reserve & Store-conditional

The total number of coherence transactions is not necessarily reduced, but splitting an atomic instruction into load-reserve & store-conditional:

- *increases utilization* (and reduces processor stall time), especially in split-transaction buses and directories
- *reduces cache ping-pong effect* because processors trying to acquire a semaphore do not have to perform stores each time