#### Publish & Subscribe

Larry Rudolph May 3, 2006 SMA 5508 & MIT 6.883



Pervasive Computing MIT 6.883 SMA 5508 Spring 2006 Larry Rudolph

1



#### A collection of agents

- Parallel or distributed programming
  - a bunch of communicating agents working to solve a problem
  - faster
    - two heads better than one
  - geographically distributed
    - everyone can't live together









Pervasive Computing MIT 6.883 SMA 5508 Spring 2006 Larry Rudolph

# Agent communication

#### \* Two main choices:

 $\star$  (which was best used to be "religious battle")

- $\star$  Shared memory (SM)
  - \* agents load and store values
    - $\star$  start with a set of numbers
    - $\star$  remove two numbers, insert their sum
    - $\star$  done when only one value remains
  - $\star$  issues: synchronization, locks, etc.
  - Message-passing (MP)











## Agent communication

- Message-passing
- two parts: destination, data
- Agent Bob: Send(Alice, "Do you want to go out?")
- Agent Alice: Recv(from,msg)
  - from = Bob; msg = "do you want to go out?"
  - send(Bob, "No")

#### Issues:

- Sender must know destination, recv need not
- blocking or non-blocking
- Iow performance, lots of copying of data
- Note: MP can implement SM and vica-versa
  - MP on clusters, SM on multiprocessors



Members Only AnimationFactory.com



#### Message Passing via Sockets



# **Tuple-space**

- $\star$  A third communication mechanism!
  - $\star$  formed basis of Linda programming language

rrdpg(tuple)

Tuplespace

(2,1)

- $\star$  tuple: ordered collection of typed elements
- \* Basic Operations
  - $\star$  out: inserts a tuple, whose fields are either
    - \* **actual**: a static value
    - **formal**: a program variable
  - $\star$  in: extracts tuple, argument is template to match
    - $\star$  actuals match fields of equal type and value
    - $\star$  formals match fields of same type



**rd**: same as in, but does not remove matched tuple

#### **Tuple-space** example



#### Linda programming example

```
procedure worker
procedure manager
                                   begin
begin
                                      IM("datum", datum)
  count = 0
                                      until datum = "stop" do
  until end-of-file do
    read datum from file
                                        value = compare(datum,target)
    OUT ("datum", datum)
                                        OUT("score", value)
    count = count+1
                                        IN("datum", datum)
  enddo
                                      enddo.
  best = 0.0
                                   end.
  for i = 1 to count
    IN("score", value)
    if value > best then best = value
  endfor
  for i = 1 to numworkers
    OUT ("datum", "stop")
  endfor
and
```



# What is the big deal?



- tuples with [address,value]
- stores are inserts, loads are non-destructive reads
- Virtual message passing
  - tuples with [dest, data]
  - recv are destructive reads
- Even more, when matching on multiple fields
- Allows many types of implementations





SPACE

Μ

TUPLE



# Agent Interaction Choices

- Direct communication model
  - Jini
  - ► FIPA
- Indirect, Shared Data-space models
  - EventHeap (centalized)
  - MARS (fully distributed)
- Event-based publish/subscribe models
  - Siena
  - Jini Distributed Events
  - Selective subscription



#### Stanford's Event Heap

\* Based on Tuple Space paradigm

- $\star$  tuple: arbitrary mix of typed fields
- \* mechanism for passing data & events
- $\star$  Extensions make it useful for agents
  - $\star$  many projects exist based on different extensions



#### **Event Heap Extensions**

- Extended Delivery Semantics:
  - Per-source ordering, always see events in order they are generated by the source
  - Total order: if tuple space is centralized, get this even if multiple sources
- Persistent Queries:
  - non-destructive read of those matching
  - also matches tuples inserted in future
- Event Notification:
  - like PQ, get notified of future matches
  - at most once semantics



# Need more than simple event heap

While the Event Heap API has proved to be well suited to application development, we believe that it lacks important facilities for constructing many types of Ubiquitous Computing application, as illustrated by the following scenario:

Alice, Bob, Joe and Sue are researchers at the University of X. While having lunch at a café, Alice articulates some new ideas regarding project Y. The group decides to use their mobile devices to further explore these ideas using a shared whiteboard application. Each member of the group uses his/her own display and stylus to contribute to the discussion. The individual devices are connected using a wireless ad-hoc network. After lunch, Alice and Joe decide to move to their office and finalise the design. In their office, they resume the discussion from where they left off.

## Suggested additions

Need "distributed, replicated or federated local instances
 (from paper by Storz, Friday, & Davies)
 Multiple event heap instances -- but not easy of implement
 View: processes that share a view have consistent ordering
 Session identifiers
 non-destructive operation on per-session identifier basis
 can share, copy, or destroy id's for different semantics



## More general issues

Lots and lots of middleware systems
no winner (may never happen)
What gets communicated?
services, events, XML records
The shared space is often a: BROKER
The broker stores the tuples and does the matching



# **Big Issues**

#### Naming

- This is a big, big deal.
- e.g. how do you name a camera:
  - model brand, IP, DNS name, location, virtual space
  - via attributes (color, 740x1024), ownership?
    - Is there only one name for the agent?

#### Matching

- ➡ A big deal
  - Which attributes explicit, which implicit
  - Where to do the lookup?





Pervasive Computing MIT 6.883 SMA 5508 Spring 2006 Larry Rudolph

#### Issues

- Addition information provided by broker
  - ▶ for services: how to interface them
  - filtering events
  - higher level events implemented at broker
    - based on multiple basic events
- Adaptivity
  - When to discard services, events
    - ▶ keep alive, heartbeats
  - Invoke new instance of service automatically
  - Fault tolerance



#### Issues













Page 1 2 3 4





